

Decision Meeting : Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation **Meeting 31st July 2018**

Report : Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation

The report outlines a three stage programme of consultation however, 4.2.1 determines that those areas that have been surveyed and/or taken part in formal consultation by the Council at least twice within the past 5 years will not be subject to a further informal survey.

The report then uses this procedure specifically with regard to two zones namely MB and MC to avoid stage one of the consultation and move straight to Stage 2.

MB Zone

2015 Survey produced a total response of 168 replies from 1350 properties (12% total)

2014-2015 Survey on the suspension of the zone produced a total response of 32 replies from 1350 properties (2.3%)

- Both of these surveys are three years old or more and do not take into consideration population changes during this period. Both Surveys used to determine the decision to proceed direct to Stage 2 have a poor return
- In addition, the proposal is to amend the boundary to include three further roads increasing the number of properties within the zone by approximately 14% none of whom were part of the two surveys referred to in the report.

On this basis, the decision has been taken

1. without adequate information (three year old data and a poor response) ignoring officer concern about the integrity of the data.
2. with inaccurate and incorrect information in that the area is being significantly altered to include properties not previously surveyed as part of the MB zone.

MC Zone

2014 TRO Consultation produced a total response of 260 replies from 2382 properties (10.9% total) the results being mixed. Effectively 68% opposed the TRO as presented.

2015 Survey produced a total response of 209 replies from 2382 properties (8.7% total)

2014-2015 Survey on the suspension of the zone produced a total response of 27 replies from 2382 properties (1.1%)

- Both of these surveys are three years old or more and do not take into consideration population changes during this period. Both Surveys used to determine the decision to proceed direct to Stage 2 have a poor return

- In addition, the proposal is to amend the boundary to include three further roads increasing the number of properties within the zone by approximately 18% none of whom were part of the two surveys referred to in the report. The description within the report is vague as to the extent of the reconfiguration of the zone.

On this basis, the decision has been taken

1. without adequate information (three year old data and a poor response) ignoring officer concern about the integrity of the data.
2. with inaccurate and incorrect information in that the area is being significantly altered to include properties not previously surveyed as part of the MC zone.

MF Zone

The previous decision meeting on 12th July at which the decision was taken to defer the report (due to the Cabinet member declaration of Interest) highlighted MF zone extending east as far as Cromwell Road. The subsequent meeting on 31st July shows a greatly revised MF zone which is now essentially halved.

No explanation has been given as to why this zone has been radically altered in the space of 7 working days

On this basis, the decision has been taken

1. without adequate information.
2. with inaccurate and incorrect information in that the area has been significantly altered to exclude properties.

The Administration is wholly basing their decision on the returns from a Political survey which has not been published, thus ignoring the data provided by Council Traffic and Transport officers

We believe that the decision should be referred back to the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation and that

1. MB Zone should be subject to all stages detailed in the report, including the informal survey stage
2. MC Zone should be subject to all stages detailed in the report, including the informal survey stage
3. MF Zone should be reinstated to include those properties highlighted in the report of 12th July 2018.
4. The Administration to publish their survey in full and including the GDPR Compliance